UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,762	08/14/2003	Glenn Ballman	001-185	1761	
	29569 7590 01/17/2008 FURR LAW FIRM			EXAMINER	
2622 DEBOLT		GREGG, MARY M			
UTICA, OH 43080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4124		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/604,762	BALLMAN, GLENN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mary M. Gregg	4124			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply	VIO OFT TO EVEIDE AMOUNT!!!	0) 0D THIRTY (00) BANG			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	lovember 2003.				
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attach mont(a)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application			

Art Unit: 3742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 have been examined

#### Oath/Declaration

2. S-signature is a signature inserted between forward slash marks, but not handwritten as defined by 37 CFR 1.4 (d) (1). Applicant's EFS signature is not in compliance with EFS signature submission rules (See MPEP 609.07 and 37 CFR 1.4 (d)(3) and (4)).

Declaration 37 CFR 1.63 does not possess the signature of the inventor. (MPEP 602). Appropriate correction is required.

## **Specification**

3. The disclosure is objected to because, the use of the trademark SUPER DOT, (para 0013, 0014, 0015, 0016), COMPUSERVE, PRODIGY, AMERICAN ONLINE, VERIZON (para 0062), MIROCSOFT'S INTERNET EXPLORER, NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR, LYNX MOSAIC, WINDOWS 95, LINUX (para 0063), NETSCAPE COMMUNICATION CORP., INETERNET EXPLORER, MICROSOFT (para 0064), WINDOWS. TM (para 0066, 0067) has been noted in this application. A trademark should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. Capitalize each letter of the word in the bracket or include a proper trademark symbol, such as TM or © following the word. (See MPEP 608.01(v) [R-2]).

The disclosure is additionally objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code in paragraphs 0037, 0052 and 0046. Applicant is

Art Unit: 3742

required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. (See MPEP § 608.01).

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

4. Claims 1, 9 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

### In reference to Claims 1 and 9:

The claims state: "a market system that the rights holders directly exchange the securities being traded" has issues of proper idiomatic English.

This claim needs to follow English grammar structure so as to clarify claim.

### In reference to Claim 13:

It has been noted that Claim 13 numbering has been duplicated. For compliance requirements by rule 1.126 the examiner has corrected the duplicate numbering of claim 13 and has corrected the numbering of the claims that followed. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 13-20 have been renumbered as claims 14-21.

## Claim Rejections-35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 3742

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Additionally, Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, as the applicant fails to teach how to use the invention due to the lack of specific and substantial utility. (See MPEP 2107 (II)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

# In reference to Claim 1:

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the rights holder" and "the securities being traded" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### In reference to Claim 9:

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the rights holder" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claims 2 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

In reference to Claim 2:

The subject matter of a properly construed claim is defined by the terms that limit its scope. Language that suggests or makes option but does not limit a claim to a particular

Art Unit: 3742

structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation. Claim 2's use of the language

"can" is non-limiting in scope and therefore non-statutory. See MPEP § 2111.04.

In reference to Claim 9:

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention does not have any

stated useful process. The applicant has failed to identify any specific and substantial utility for

the invention. (See MPEP § 2107.01). Claiming "having a market system" as a method does not

specify a useful process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-11 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US

Patent No.: 6014643 by Minton (Minton).

In reference to Claim 1:

A securities system comprising: a market system that the rights holders directly (Col 1)

lines 10-13, Col 2 lines 47-49) exchange the securities being traded (Col 2 lines 62-65).

In reference to Claim 2:

A system according to claim 1 (see rejection of claim 1 above) in which a broker can act as an agent for a rights holder (FIG. 1 and 3, Col 2 lines 46-49, 62-65, Col 4, lines 44-49, 62-67). In reference to Claim 3:

A system according to claim 1 (see rejection of claim 1 above) in which said system is a data processing system (abstract, Col 2 lines 44-49, Col 2 lines 62-64).

## In reference to Claim 4:

A system, as claimed in claim 1 (see rejection of claim 1 above) further

Comprising means for pooling securities for the short selling of securities (Col 4, lines 3-10, Col
10 lines 3-4, 62-63)

Note: The applicant has invoked the means for function, which was defined in paragraphs 0028, 0061 and 0087 of the written description. The claim has been rejected as the prior art contains all the structural limitations of the claim as defined in the written description for intended use. In reference to Claim 5:

A system according to claim 3 (see rejection of claim 5 above) in which said system is processed in a client server system (abstract, Col 3 lines 4-6, Col 4, lines 12-20).

# In reference to Claim 6

A system according to claim 3 (see rejection of claim 3 above) in which said system is connected to through the Internet (FIG. 3, Col 6 lines 35-40, Col 7 lines 57-60).

### <u>In reference to Claim 7:</u>

Art Unit: 3742

A system according to claim 3 (see rejection of claim 3 above), further comprising: (a) computer processor means for processing data (FIG. 2, Col 3 lines 65-57, Col 4, lines 62-67); (b) storage means for storing said data on a storage medium (FIG. 2, Col 5, lines 11-15, Col 7 lines 48-53); (c) communication means for transmitting data in a secure environment to and from various remote locations (FIG. 2, Col 7 lines 9-15, Col 8 lines 52-54); and (d) computer software means for creating and displaying trade data (Col 4, lines 40-44, Col 6 lines 41, 65-67, Col 7 lines 1-3, Col 15, lines 27-31) concerning a particular trade in the form of a trade record (FIG. 4-12).

### In reference to Claim 8:

A system, as claimed in claim 7 (see rejection of claim 7 above) wherein said computer software means further comprises" means for inputting and storing system information on said storage means (FIG. 1, FIG. 4, Col 4 lines 13-25, Col 5 lines 12-20).

### In reference to Claim 9:

A securities reporting method comprising: having a market system that the rights holders directly (Col 1 lines 10-13, Col 2 lines 46-49) exchange the securities being traded (Col 2 lines 62-65).

### In reference to Claim 10:

A method according to claim 9 (see rejection of claim 9 above) which includes having a broker act as an agent for a rights holder (Col 2 lines 62-65, Col 7 lines 32-35, 40-46, Col 8 lines 16-22).

### In reference to Claim 11:

Art Unit: 3742

A method according to claim 10 (see rejection of claim 11 above)

which includes having said system being a data processing system (abstract, Col 2 lines 46-49,

Col 3, lines 3-6).

In reference to Claim 18:

A securities exchange system comprising: pooling means for pooling securities for the short

selling of securities (Col 10 lines 62-65, Col 11, lines 15-18, Col 12 lines 11-16).

Note: The applicant has invoked the means for function, which was defined in paragraphs 0028,

0061 and 0087 of the written description. The claim has been rejected as the prior art contains

all the structural limitations of the claim as defined in the written description for intended use.

In reference to Claim 19

A system according to claim 18 (see rejection of claim 18 above) in which said system is

a data processing system (abstract, Col 2 lines 46-50, Col 4, lines 3-10).

In reference to Claim 20

A system according to claim 18, further comprising: (a) having a computer processor

means for processing data (Col 4 lines 3-7); (b) having a storage means for storing said data on a

storage medium (Col 5 lines 14-21); (c) having a communication means for transmitting data in a

secure environment to and from various remote locations ((Minton) Col 4 lines 5-8, FIG. 3, Col

7, lines 10-15); and (d) having a computer software means((Minton) Col 3 lines 65-68) for

creating and displaying trade data (FIG. 4-12, FIG 1, component 26 and 30) concerning a

particular trade in the form of a trade record (FIG. 4-7).

In reference to Claim 21

Art Unit: 3742

A system, as claimed in claim 18 wherein said computer software means further comprises: means for inputting and storing system information on said storage means (Col 4 lines 13-20).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 12 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6014643 by Minton (Minton) in view of US Patent. No. 6601044 B1, by Wallman (Wallman).

  In reference to Claim 12:

Minton teaches:

A method according to claim 10 (see rejection of claim 10 above)... for the short selling of securities ((Minton) Col 10 lines 62-67, Col 12 lines 11-16).

Minton does not teach:

which includes having a pooling means for pooling securities

Wallman teaches:

means for pooling securities (Col 15, lines 32-34, 45-50, 58-63, Col 16, lines 2-14, 49-55)

Art Unit: 3742

In reference to Claim 13

Both Minton and Wallman are directed toward creating securities portfolios for small and individual investors that optimize gain and minimize risk, that allow more personal control with minimum broker usage ((Minton) Col 2, lines 45-57, (Wallman) Col 5, lines 15-16, Col 11, lines 25-34, 47-49). Wallman teaches several motivations for small or individual investor to deviate from purchasing traditional pooled securities and the using traditional brokerage services ((Wallman) Col 1, lines 63-66, Col 3, lines 61, Col 4, line 47, Col 5, lines 17-18, 43-45, ). Wallman provides a method and system for grouping financial instruments to create groups or pools of securities ((Wallman) Col 15, lines 32-34, 45-50, 58-63, Col 16 lines 2-10, 48-55).

It was well known in the art at the time of invention that pooled funds are funds from many individual investors that are aggregated for the purposes of investment, as in the case of a mutual or pension fund. Investors in pooled fund investments benefit from economies of scale, which allow for lower trading costs per dollar of investment, diversification and professional money management. Both references of prior art teach the importance of minimizing cost of trading. Therefore, from the teaching of Wallman who states explicitly of pooling securities and individual control of security purchases with minimal cost of using brokers as well, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Minton to include in the options portion ((Minton), FIG. 6) of Minton's invention to provide a viewing of and the options of purchasing pooled securities and/or to include a method for the pooling of securities for creating a diversified fund portfolio profile as taught by Wallman ((Wallman) Col 15, lines 32-34, 45-50, 58-63, Col 16, lines 3-10, 48-55), (Minton) Col 8 lines 40-48).

Art Unit: 3742

A method according to claim 12 (see rejection of claim 12 above) in which includes having said system being processed in a client server system ((Minton) Col 4 lines 1-13).

A method according to claim 12 (see rejection of claim 12 above) in which includes having said system being connected to through the Internet ((Minton) Col 6, lines 34-40, Col 7, lines 55-60).

## In reference to Claim 15:

In reference to Claim 14:

A method according to claim 12 (see rejection of claim 12 above), further comprising: (a) having a computer processor means for processing data ((Minton) Col 3 lines 65-57); (b) having a storage means for storing said data on a storage medium ((Minton) Col 5 lines 14-19); (c) having a communication means for transmitting data in a secure environment to and from various remote locations ((Minton) Col 4 lines 5-8, FIG. 3, Col 7, lines 10-15); and (d) having a computer software means for creating and displaying trade data ((Minton) Col 3 lines 65-68) concerning a particular trade in the form of a trade record ((Minton) FIG. 4-12).

### In reference to Claim 16:

A method, as claimed in claim 15 (see rejection of claim 15 above) which includes having means for inputting and storing system information on said storage means ((Minton) FIG. 1, FIG. 4, Col 3 lines 65-67, Col 4, lines 12-20).

# In reference to Claim 17

A method, as claimed in claim 15 (see rejection of claim 14 above) further comprising: having means for monitoring the modification of trade data in respective trade records(FIG. 3,

Art Unit: 3742

Col 7, lines 57-60, Col 8, lines 44-47, 50-59) which are created by said computer software means ((Minton) Col 5 lines 14-16, Col 14 lines 65-67).

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Pub No 20040199448 A1 by Chalermkraivuth et al. (Chal) is cited as a relevant reference for teaching a system and method for determining the allocation of securities in a portfolio for individuals and/or portfolio managers. Additionally, Chal teaches explicitly of different methods for pooling securities. The applicant is put on notice that the prior art teaches the disclosed and claimed features of the application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary M. Gregg whose telephone number is (571) 270-5050. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday-8:30am-5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Bomberg can be reached on (571) 272-4922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MMG

/Thor S. Campbell/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742

.

.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,762

Page 14

Art Unit: 3742